

**Kuna Planning & Zoning Commission Meeting  
May 14, 2009**

**NOTE:** *These minutes are an unofficial record of this Planning & Zoning meeting until reviewed, corrected (if deemed appropriate), and formally approved by the Kuna Planning & Zoning Commission at a subsequent Planning & Zoning meeting.*

---

**Those present:**

City Attorney Grove, Chairman David Case, Vice Chairman Carl Trautman, Commissioner Wierschem, Commissioner Sanders, Commissioner James, Director Steve Hasson, and Planner II Troy Behunin,

Absent: Planner Tracy Rushlow

The Kuna Planning & Zoning meeting was called to order by Chairman Case at 6:00 p.m., located at the Kuna City Hall, 763 W. Avalon, Kuna.

<b>Consent Agenda</b>
-----------------------

Chairman Case opened the meeting at 7:00 pm

Planning and Zoning minutes:  
April 28, 2009

Facts and Findings, Conclusions of Law:

**09-01-ZOA - Septic Tank/System Zoning Ordinance  
Amendment / Kuna City Code (KCC) 5-16-4**

Commissioner Sanders motion to approve the consent agenda from April 28, 2009  
Commissioner James seconded, all approved, motion carries. Commissioner Wierschem and Vice Chairman Trautman abstain from voting.

<b>OLD BUSINESS</b>
---------------------

None

<b>NEW BUSINESS</b>
---------------------

09-01-CPA; City of Kuna Comprehensive Plan Amendment

Planner Director Steven Hasson presented the amendment. I want to thank you the Commissioners for making this special meeting so we can get the Comprehensive Plan in a forward motion and I want to thank the public for attendance and we only have a product as good as the public who have given us their input, ideas and thoughts in such a document and also to thank our Commissioners for being here.

A comp plan is something that needs to be updated on a regular basis; ours was previously updated in 2003. It was a good job for that time but the City has rapidly moved on. In 2000 there was 5000, now there are over 16,000 and last year we had a 1,000 new people move in which is comparative to what Boise had and they are 99 largest City in America and Kuna was on par with them. Building permit activity in general is equal or exceeds Boise City and Meridian, we have not seen any major slowdown and we have numerous development processes in the works.

This comp plan update was started 20 months ago and the intent to update the comp plan reflects a lot of changes from public input. Kuna is a little more that 16 square miles.

On the future land use map, where we are asking you to consider is a little over 70 square miles, there were several things that motive this size and shape. We tried to comport with the city area impact boundary that Meridian has established on the north, we looked at reasonably how far east we would go, mostly Osprey Ridge, determined that route and then the BLM property pretty much to the south. McDermott Road was the boundary that the Council determined last summer for the west. We also have had two mixed messages from Ada county Commissioners, is that the six Cities should decided amongst themselves and divide up the county and in such a manner to consider all the things that need to be entertained. But they have also sent out the message that it is ok in the un-incorporated areas for there to be planned communities. For example Vista which was approve late last year.

Apart of how we tried to construct this land use map was in terms of growth and density, and identified land use that needs to go in to a full service community. The other message we heard just as loud is we moved to Kuna to enjoy the rural lifestyle. We think it is important to keep the rural character and we need to work around the agricultural area. You will see large areas of agricultural in nature, with no desire to increase density. You will see areas of conservation which are intended open space or cluster development. In Ada County the last area of agricultural is in this area here and it needs to be protected, preserved and maintained.

In the comp plan document you will see several goals and policy statements in there that reflect to intensify certain lands, maximize density, gain economy of scale and avoid duplicate of effort and in the same breath lots of open space, trail systems, recreation, discovery, preservation. With all the input we have received from the public, I would like to think that we have captured the essence of what is wanted in our community.

There is some overlap in certain areas that may seem conflicting, but sometimes there are conflicting policies in a document when you are trying to accommodate and contain the rural integrity of agricultural, farm land, open space and real intense growth and development. I am sure there is some and over time I hope that we can clarify some of these statements; and you need to keep in mind that this is a work in progress.

There are a couple of other attributes involved in the comp plan besides the text and maps before you, there is a future acquisition land map that still needs tweaked, and there is the capital facilities improvement plan that also needs some tweaking, but it also does another thing for the City. As we grow we will need other funding sources so impact fee are crucial and in Idaho in order to innate a impact fee study you have to have a capital facility plan in place.

Finally you will see two land use maps for you to decide. One has the Idaho Power, 500 kv power transmission lines which will take place through Kuna, and the other does not; so you will need to decide which of these maps that you want to adopt.

Laura Hanson, CRSA, 649 E S. Temple Street, SLC, Ut. It is good to see the end of a project that has a lot of input from the community, staff , City Council and Planning and Zoning. I think that the end project is great, a good solid plan that reflects the Community of Kuna.

We started out with a review of the City's documents, plans, policies and plans from the surrounding areas including the County. We developed a series of goals and objectives from the feedback from the committees and community input. Then developed a draft plan to reflect the input and brought that plan back in January for the public to review and see if we are on the right track or that we missed the boat altogether. We are now in the home stretch, in the adoption stage.

Some of the issues brought up more than once were parking downtown, bike paths, and overpasses; fast keeping routes through the community, preservation of open space, greater employment, and cluster developments to preserve open space are just a few things that were brought up.

The comp plan has about 17 different chapters in it, most of these are required by state statute and we added a few that were special interest to the Community itself, there are appendixes, one is planning indicators that gives you some benchmarks, goals, some measurements that you can start to take when resources allow to help you implement the plan, as well as the capital improvements plan. I am just going to go a few key chapters one is population, by looking at this chapter you can see that you have had extreme rapid growth in the last few years, it has tapered off recently due to

the economy, but I believe that it will take off again when things look up. Between 1990-2006 it has been about 12.4 percent compared to 3.5 for Ada County, typically that is considered a unsustainable growth rate and you are not likely to stay at the level in the long run.

We took a three prong approach to determine the growth rate. One was to take the historical building rate, growth rates, building permits and Compass projections.

Land use is the next chapter, there are goals and objectives in all of these but I am going to just highlight the important ones. One really talks about Kuna's high quality of life. Two- encourage a balance of land uses, three- protecting the quality of existing neighborhoods and providing a variety of housing options for other residents; four- targets protecting target key resources, open spaces, natural areas as well as recreational areas.

There are few components of the land use chapter; one was the preservation of agricultural land, there is a lot of agricultural on the outskirts of town a green buffer; but we have also identified rural cluster development and that idea is to take existing base zoning and relocate on to smaller lots to preserve more open space around them, encourage them to lay out there subdivision in a more careful way to preserve the open space. We have also talked about residential infill. Encouraging development in existing infrastructure, the city core areas is advisable instead of new green area where you have to extend the infrastructure. Another key component is series of different centers within the City, each with a different skill. Neighborhood centers.

Community Centers-community scale type, shopping, restaurant, retail things like that.

City center- preserving the center core is a high value also.

Large employment areas, some being light industrial, high tech, or educational or medical campus. We have five goals, one is to preserve a healthy vibrant City center, make sure the City center is pedestrian friendly, room for cultural exchange, community's heart, adequate access and parking and to identify different districts within the city center.

Transportation is the next section that we want to highlight. There are three goals here that we want to highlight. Pedestrian and bike traffic, work with transit providers as there are no providers currently, and to balance land use planning with transportation needs. Right now there looks to be four roads that will be your busiest which are Kuna Meridian, Amity, Kuna and Mains Street/Avalon. There are six main components, right now we have identified two roundabout locations in downtown, expanded on your mobility corridors.

One is to identify areas on grids for bike trails, pedestrian walkways, and roads to determine where the heaviest travel areas are and where it is safer for bike and pedestrian pathways to be placed for continual continuity and safety as well as roads that need the faster travel lanes for heavier traffic patterns.

And the last component that we feel is important to touch on is parks and recreation. We have seven goals to insure that there is a lot of variety within your City for recreational activities. There is the need to integrate trails, bike lanes and grid systems into your City's development patterns. You will need to maintain nature parks, agricultural land and ensure that you have community and neighborhood center recreational facilities and programs for residents and making these resources within walking distance and within reaching distance of other communities. Form a park and recreation board, develop a unified recreation plan, this is something that prioritizes the inventory of your existing park needs and sets up a plan for development for those in the future.

You want to make sure that parks and bike trails are integrated into all new subdivision.

Troy Behunin, City of Kuna, Planner II, The document you see before if the reflects an incredible amount of man hours. There were around 100 landholders or interested community members who have a vested interest in the community that gave us valuable input and helped form this valuable document. Since 2000 we have received a tremendous amount of growth and if they trend keeps going we could be 18000 within a year or two. We are trying to preserve what the community wants and still allow responsible growth. We have a very definitive plan on how we would like to see this city grow. We are trying to make this City better, not only with the comp plan but updating codes, plans, trying to put our best face forward. We are trying to show that we have a viable plan for the future, one such example is the new City park acquisition.

In the two maps we have before you we want you to see what is there. We need to protect our natural resources. The one map indicates a Idaho Power transmission line, called the "Gateway West Transmission line" project and although it is a study corridor at this time, the reason why we are showing that is because we want people to understand what kind of impact, the millions of millions of dollars of impact, that will affect our community.

We have different overlay districts; there is the Highway 69 overlay district, our gateway corridor; we are trying to implant a trails and open space overlay district, not to mention several other road overlay districts on major transportation routes. We have tried to begin a view shed corridor, like the Kuna Butte, Owyhee's and several other places. We tried to meld together what the citizens wanted and what would work or be the best use for that area. We had experts give us information on certain areas like the industry park area, and extra guidance on what would be the best thing for Kuna. This plan does represent a huge undertaking by the City staff, CRSA and the public at large. We are updating this plan because we want to control our destiny; we want a better plan, from the capital improvements plan to the functioning classified road map. We want this to be a place where people came and stay here. Smart Growth has said this is a great plan and extended their support and a great step in the right direction.

Open public hearing at 7:16 pm.

Neutral:

Blake Watson, PO Box 70, Boise; Appreciate the work that goes into a comprehensive plan, particularly about energy efficiency and sustainability. I do work for Idaho Power. Comment in reference to the Gateway West project. Idaho Power is looking forward to working with the City of Kuna and sharing information on the Gateway West project to identify what would be a win-win solution that would provide electrical benefits with the fewest impacts for all. With a project this size we want to work with all to make it work, City of Kuna, BLM, and Idaho Power. There is a need for a regional transmission line that would provide reliable, priced electricity for this area for the future. There is a public meeting on June 11 at Kuna High School from 6-8pm, is put on by Ada County. Idaho Power will be there to answer questions about the project, talk about the project, how people can get engaged in this project. We have had a number of public meetings and the line is by no means sited. We are encouraging engagement from the citizens and the Cities and work through this process to help route this line with the minimum of conflict as possible. No route has been established.

Lavar Thornton, 2800 S Ten Mile; We annexed our land into Kuna about a year ago, and one of those maps I am for and one of those maps I am against. I don't want the power line map. I am not against power; I use a lot of it in my farming operation, but what I am against is where they want to put the transmission lines because there is another alternative. We believe they could go six or seven miles south where there already 3 power lines running across that property and would not impact us. Idaho Power tells you that that this line is not ready to go, but in February we got a letter from a survey crew and they wanted to survey our land and do there preliminary surveying, we denied them as well as did several other land owners in the area. This line is going to be 200 foot towers, there is a picture to show what that looks like. I am asking you that you recommend map number one, not the one with the transmission lines on.

Dwyane Yamamoto; 341 Ave A. I have lived and farmed in this community for close to 60 years. Mr. Thornton is the old timer I am one of the new comers. When we first moved here there were approximately 750 people so I have watched it grow to what it is today. On the onset I was against City growth but you cannot stop growth and I would like to commend Steve Hasson and the Planning department for updating and doing a good job on the comprehensive plan. Like Mr. Thornton I want the first map, not the one with the transmission lines and that gateway project line would go through my property. I already have one large set of lines running through my property I don't want another one. On this transmission line, we didn't really know much about it until we received a letter asking us for permission to survey the land. So I think that this plan to

preserve the rural area of Kuna, and we bump against the Birds of Prey area is a good one. And that power line would just blight the area.

Wade Meese 2560 W Kuna-Mora Rd; There are several people here who are in our subdivision here, the Forty Heights Subdivision, I am grateful for what is happening here in Planning and Zoning, the last time I came here there was not any baseball diamonds and we knew that growth was coming, I am glad that we are a planning and zoning and not a reacting and zoning as it was before. Last year we received a notice from Ada County District was having a meeting and that there were three proposals, one they could take our homes totally away from us and bulldoze them under, dump it underneath us or behind us for the expansion of Kuna-Mora Road, now there is the Gateway West Project line that wants to go right through us. One of the staff members talked about the beautiful vista, and then there was a proposal for a huge gas line through, I don't know what is going to happen to that beautiful vista when you have a freeway, huge power-lines and gas lines running through it. And we just found out that we are in the City impact area and I realize that there is 16,000 people in the City and you had about 100 who responded, but I think that there is a lot more that you need to contact and get the impact from all the folks that are there. I appreciate the staff and their work, but please consider us who live there now. Don't tear down our homes, don't ruin our vistas and let us know that you want to do those things first. We want growth, but we want planned growth and I don't think that we need to chase growth and I think that we need to decide how big we want to be and don't let someone tell us how big we need to be and then plan for the amount of growth we want. It would be funny if you could only move into Kuna if someone wanted to move out. No one wants to move out. Then you might actually have a community that you like.

Todd Massey 4568 N Chappela Way, Boise, Director of Operations of Osprey Ridge. I have an exhibit and hand out that I would like to give to you. Osprey Ridge is a master plan project that took two years to plan, it will have about 3700 dwelling units, 300 acres of open space and golf course, a middle, high school, and elementary school, over a little of a hundred acres. We have also planned trail system running along Indian Creek that we foresee having educational kiosks tying into the Birds of Prey and raptors, the identity of Kuna. The handout is from Idaho Powers website I am here today for the first map not the map that shows the power lines. That runs right through the middle of our project and will render our project useless and done. Idaho Power claims that their approach is three pronged that involves the collaboration to find the route that impact the fewest amount of people, has the least number of constraints and provides the most opportunities. Although previous Treasure Valley electrical plans prepared by Idaho Power both in 2006-2007 showed a new 500 kv line that parallel a current 500 kv line that already runs and traverses Swan Falls. In 2008 they have now changed that so it runs through the City of Kuna. Idaho Powers own records show that the Gateway transmission line was announced in May of 2007. Well before BLM prepared the draft for the restricted resource management plan that was adopted in 2008. We are still waiting for a freedom of information's act of request from BLM that have yet responded to, which is slow and beyond the reasonable amount of time for a response. Previous 2006 plans show that this 500 kv line was proposed to run parallel to the existing one. It is obvious that Idaho Power was anticipating a long time ago where they were going to run this transmission line. The current route through the City is actually 4 miles longer than the alternative route. They say that it will cost \$1.5million per mile to build and that does not include the acquisition of the easements and the cost will be passed along to the customer. BLM needs to take responsible of its impacts of its policies on its neighbors who cooperate with Idaho Power to find a alternative route. We encourage Kuna to reject the comp plan map that shows the transmission lines.

Ashley Ford, Principle Land Use Planner for Rose Law Group, 6223 N Discovery Way, Boise, I am representing Kuna 830 LOC, property to the west of Osprey Ridge. We are part of the 3500 acreage that was annexed in last year. I would like to commend Steven and his staff; this has been one of the best public processes for involvement for the comp plan standpoint that I have

gone through. Our site is designated as mixed use and we have not got as far as Osprey Ridge but we have envisioned an 830 acre master plan community which would have significant employment centers and economic benefits to the City of Kuna. 45 days ago we found out about this transmission corridor and we are still doing research, but this will kill our project completely if it is allowed to go through the route that they have planned. A 500kv transmission line running through 1/3 of our property renders our site pretty much unusable. The City really needs to think hard about where anticipated growth should happen and transmission lines are important for the economic development of this entire valley. However there has been no public involvement with Idaho Power to date. Our client has been under this ownership for many years. The fact we are standing talking about something as detrimental as this line without any public input with Idaho Power is very disturbing. Please accept plan use map number one and not the transmission line map.

Oppose:

Margo Whale, 3333 W Ambrosia Lane. We have an air strip in our subdivision. I have given you the input that was given back in February. What I am going to talk about tonight is the same thing that was in this letter. I am in favor overall of the comp plan but I would like to request that before you approve either map that you would change the area around our subdivision and air strip to rural cluster. The reason for that, the text of the plan you indicate that the whole process is design to represent interest of the whole community and not just a few special interest groups. Agricultural is important to Kuna and to preserve the land and large tracts of open space is important but not always possible. That is why you set aside large areas of land for large lots rural cluster development. You recommend the boundary, McDermott, you recommend that this be that this be a rural cluster. In addition the text says would like to recognize current value in neighborhoods which h this is a existing subdivision and finally would like you recognize that increasing the density in this area before services are there leads to a safety issue.

Russ Whale, 3333 W Ambrosia Lane, I happen to be the airport manager for this air strip and I would like to voice my opinion. I would like to see rural cluster for this area around this airstrip. It would be better for safety reasons and for anyone moving to that area, allows them a better buffer zone. Generally I am in favor of the plan.

Terry Shannon, I am in favor of the comp plan, but not real happy with the power line. There has been a lot of rural cluster and I am in favor of that to and not in just the area mentioned but wherever we could. Kuna has the opportunity to be unique, to be a little bit more desirable, a little bit homier, through rural cluster. I know there are problems with that, it is hard to get developers to go with that, and the economic impact and you have to have a lot of land, but there is where we are now. We have a lot of parcels that are large. I am not saying we need to do this, but my inclination is to lean towards that. Just for a more unique community, a place where people want to come to. There are a lot of large parcels that I know that will not stay that way, but if we designate them as rural cluster it would be easier to do it now. Is the power line going to help us, NO.

Support:

Bill Clark: 3543 Ambrosia Lane; I am hopeful that this plan opens a new era for Kuna. One that the City follows its comp plan process in regards to annexation and zonings, the City have ignored the plan whenever possible. Like when the big box was approved, the comp plan process was ignored on whether the project was a good fit for Kuna and how to make similar projects better and then the next was the annexation of Osprey Ridge, before the updated comp plan map, but even then and now requires the comp plan process be completed before any annexation takes place. The only change in the new law was to move this up sooner. The plan must be updated before an annexation can be considered. For these two projects the comp

plan was never consulted, considered or updated. Not after Kuna doubled its comp plan size. The comp plan means there must be 14 components to be considered which is required by law. This must be why Kuna took out a crayon and drew a big red circle around Kuna and said this is the plan. Only it was green not red. Recently Napa Vineyards was approved, but because they said it was a Planned Unit Development that the com plan was not needed, this is wrong the PUD is a permitting process. Again the City approved a fair amount of Commercial space within a residential area without initiating a com plan change. I only object on these plans about the fact that the comp plan planning process was not used. I hope then and now that Kuna will follow the comp plan process whenever it considers a planning or zoning request. I do have one comment about property close to me. I would like to add my support to a rural cluster. And specifically to the north of me, Black Cat and Kuna Road designated medium density, why when it could be rural cluster and still receive the same density.

Seven Hasson Planner Director, applicant: I heard one thing this evening that needs comment on. Our first speaker, Bill Clark said; that he was frustrated that we had not followed our comp plan and was there any assurance that in the future we would follow the current plan. One of the things I plan on doing once we adopt this plan, and it is full of polices and goal statements, and every time we do land use action, it has a staff report. One of the things I want to put in the staff report is a comp plan analysis. What that would do, is every time a land use application comes in we will measure that land use plan against the goals and objectives pertinent to that land use application. We will put those goals and objectives right in the staff report and it will help you measure whether this land use application comports with those land use goals and objectives.

Close public hearing at 7:50 pm

Commissioner Discussion:

Chairman Case: there are a couple of things in making a decision on this. We have been given a lot of testimony and other exhibits and information to review on the comp plan, so we have a choice of looking at this information and making a decision tonight or tabling it so that we have a chance to review all the information that we have been given and make a better more informed decision. You need to determine which planning map and other changes of usage in the text. If there is a large amount of change then it will need to be re-noticed and another public hearing will need to be held.

Commissioner Sanders: I have seen a lot of growth in the 25 years that I have lived here, some planning but the growth has always outpaced the planning. I think that this is this real opportunity to have an overall plan that is way ahead of what we need to do. It is a good outline to help us grow. I am excited to be part of the Planning and Zoning Commission and in help to shape the way the community is growing. I am comfortable in voting for it tonight and I vote for map a without the power lines.

Commissioner Trautman: I would like to express gratitude to for the hard work and the input that everyone has put into the com plan. It is a wonderful plan for guidance for our City. There was a comment about not enough opportunity for the public to participate in the plan, but I think that there was several opportunities for the public to give there input, there concerns and to express their ideas for this process and the components that went into. There is always going to be some conflict, but I agree with Commissioner Sanders and that this plan needs to move forward and there will be opportunity for things to change in the future. I am ready to vote tonight and I think that map A is the right map to vote on.

Commissioner Wierschem: I want to thank all those who have participated. I have been on some of the committees that have participated in the shaping of the general comp plan and I have heard the public comments. With the document that is here before us I feel that everyone voice was heard and has been addressed. I would ask the commissioners to table this; there are a few things that I am not comfortable with. On Margo's presentation, I would like clarification from staff.

Planner Director Hasson: We talked about the fact that there was a challenge where we were trying to accommodate to groups of people with equal strong values and perspective. One was those who felt Kuna was growing up fast and going to be a urban place and accommodate ourselves to the urban environment. But there is lot of people who moved to Kuna because there is some uniqueness that needs to be appreciated and respected in respect to our rural areas, agricultural lands, our heritage and open space and the fact that this has been a farming community for a long time. If you loose it you more than the perspective, you loose traditions and you loose those things that make Kuna special and different. Margo is reflecting that viewpoint.

The maps before you are probably the 50<sup>th</sup> version. The previous maps had a lot more green, and Margo lands had more green than now. But in the equation on working with this land use map was the fact that we had so many participants that came in and said, this is our land and here is how we want it constructed and developed. There were a number of participants in the vicinity of Margo's land that came in and said we want to have residential or mixed use. You get into this problem of who should prevail and you heard Margo say that the community interests out ways the individual's interest. And yet you have the individual coming in and saying my land time out, private property rights states a private property right is fundamental. So this was a balancing act. Anything I construct is going to reflect a give and take proposition. I am not saying that Margo is wrong, but where the land around her was shaped by other land owners coming in and saying hey we appreciate Margo's view, but for heaven sake it is our land and we want to develop it. The challenge for staff is where we draw the line. How do you do what you do? This is a work in progress and it will change because your community value system is in continuous motion. The views and values that we have today will differ from five years from now as they are different than five years ago.

Commissioner James: I would also like to thank everyone for all the hard work that they have done on this extensive comp plan and I would like more time to go over the material and exhibits that was given out tonight some of it is very extensive and I would feel better to able to read it and digest it more so I am favor of tabling it..

Chairman Case: There is a 2-2 split and both sides have very good points. This process has been a long process; there have been a lot of public notices to get the public to come and participate so I think that the due diligence was done. I am comfortable with the documents. I understand some of the concerns of the land owners in respect of what they want to do with their property. In with all due respect Margo I was reading your letter while we were discussing and what I am reading is you live in this subdivision and you want it to stay that rural cluster and I don't see that as a issue but I guess the main question is are your representing your subdivision, yourself because I don't see anyone else from your subdivision addressing the concerns. When we come to public hearing we have dead lines and those deadlines are for a specific reason and that is so when we come here we have had all the information and we can make a decision without constant stalls with new information being thrown at us. It creates a burden and we don't have the time to read the information given at the last minute. I am in favor of making a decision, but due to the fact there are a couple of commissioners who need more time, then I will consider tabling it.

Commissioner James motion to table to make a decision until May 27, 2009  
Commissioner Wierschem seconds, 3-1 approve, Commissioner Sanders was the dissenting vote, motion passes.

.

## REPORTS

**City Attorney Grove:** The only thing that I have to report on is the controversial strip on map number 2, the Gateway West Transmission project , construction is to start 2011, but would be a signification impact on Kuna, and because it crosses a vast number of federal lands it is subject to a environmental impact statement process, because BLM has most of the land, BLM is the lead agency, and under federal law, the agencies that have decision making authorities or are considered experts in dealing with environmental issues associated with this project are required to assembled together. Under the code state and local governments can petition for status as cooperating agency and what that affords is the ability to attend meetings, review materials as they are being presented and to comment, pro-con or to make alternative suggestions. We did not get notified of the impact that this was going to have until March and in April we found who the lead agency was. I sent a letter to Walt George, the head office in Wyoming of BLM for the west area. I called him back several back weeks later; just yesterday I received a proposed a M.O.U granting cooperating agency status to the City of Kuna. That will be on the City Council next week to consider. They are not going to back track to allow the City of Kuna to comment on previous actions and there is a draft environmental statement that if Kuna goes that route we will be able to comment on. Obtaining cooperating agency status is probably the best way for us to proceed in moving this proposed route to some other location other than the one through the southern portion of the City.

**Planner Director Steve Hasson: NO REPORT**

## CHAIRMAN DISCUSSION

Chairman Case: It will be tough for me to be here Wednesday, I know that we change days to accommodate me, but my son is graduating from High School, and May 27 is the awards ceremony and I have been asked to present.

## ADJOURNMENT

Chairman Case motions to adjourn at 8:29 pm  
Commissioner Trautman seconds, all approve, motion carries.

\_\_\_\_\_  
David Case, Chairman

ATTEST:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Troy Behunin, Planner II