

**KUNA CITY COUNCIL MEETING**  
**MINUTES**  
**JULY 20, 2010**  
**KUNA CITY HALL COUNCIL CHAMBER, 763 W. AVALON**

**1. 7:00 p.m. – REGULAR COUNCIL MEETING**

M/Dowdy called the meeting to order at 7:02 p.m.

**PRESENT:** Mayor Dowdy, Council President Bachman,  
Councilmembers Cardoza, Hoiland and Lang.

**ALSO PRESENT:** City Attorney Richard Roats; City Engineer Gordon Law; Planning & Zoning Director Steven Hasson; City Treasurer John Marsh; Senior Planner Troy Behunin and City Clerk Lynda Burgess.

**2. INVOCATION:** The Invocation was given by President Lance Warnick, Church of Jesus Christ of Latter Day Saints

**3. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE:** The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Mayor Dowdy

**4. CONSENT AGENDA:**

C/Cardoza expressed concern that the owner of the residential business referred to in 4.D. may park his tractors in the street.

Since Mr. McHargue was not present, M/Dowdy suggested Council remove the item from the agenda and invite Mr. McHargue to attend the next Council meeting so any questions could be asked.

M/Dowdy asked Lt. Aldrich if safety plans were submitted and approved for the catering permits for the Kuna Day's Street Dance.

Lt. Aldrich indicated that he had not seen the security plan yet but that he was assuming everything would be the same as last year based on his conversation with Hoss Griggs. The only change would be a reduction in service size to not include the business entrances.

M/Dowdy indicated that he would let Lt. Aldrich sign off on the catering permit approvals when he is satisfied with the safety plans.

Moved by C/Lang, seconded by C/Hoiland to approve the Consent Calendar with the exception of Item No. 4.D. Motion carried by the following Roll Call vote:

**AYES:** Bachman, Cardoza, Hoiland, Lang  
**NOES:** None  
**ABSENT:** None

Moved by C/Lang, seconded by C/Bachman to table 4.D. the Business License for Richard McHargue "Mac's Tractor Works" and direct staff to request Mr. McHargue to attend the next meeting to provide more details. Motion carried 4-0

A. APPROVED MINUTES:

1. SPECIAL CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 28, 2010
2. REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 6, 2010

B. APPROVED ACCOUNTS PAYABLE DATED JULY 16, 2010 IN THE AMOUNT OF \$215,741.23.

C. APPROVED BUSINESS LICENSE FOR BELIA ESTRADA, "COUNTRY CUTS BARBER SHOP," RELOCATING TO 371A MAIN ST.

D. APPROVE BUSINESS LICENSE FOR RICHARD McHARGUE, "MAC'S TRACTOR WORKS," 712 MENDI PL. (Continued to August 3, 2010.)

E. APPROVED CATERING PERMIT FOR CK ENTERPRISES OF IDAHO FOR THE KUNA DAYS DANCE ON AUGUST 7, 2010.

F. ADOPTED RESOLUTION R25-2010 ACCEPTING WATER LINE EASEMENT IN CRIMSON POINT NORTH PRELIMINARY PLAT.

5. **OLD BUSINESS:**

BUDGET VS. ACTUALS THROUGH JUNE 2010

CT/Marsh presented the following for the period of October 2009 through June 2010.

General Fund: YTD-June 2010

Actual Rev vs. Actual Exp	Budgeted	Net Exp vs. Budget Rev
-\$102,327	-\$359,681	\$257,354.72

C/Hoiland stated that by he could not tell by looking at the spreadsheet if there are problems brewing or not. Is there any indication that there will be a problem?

CT/Marsh replied no, not in the general fund. There is nothing that is alarming. As far as revenue, the City hasn't received the final appropriations for property tax and sales tax. On the property revenue line, there is one more payment coming in for the second half of tax assessments and will be remitted on Friday. That amount is \$569,000 and that will bring the total for the year of that line item to \$1.4 million which is about \$40,000 higher than projected. For expenditures, there doesn't seem to be any exceptions at this point other than what was previously discussed for Planning and Zoning permit revenue reduction stemming from the end of Federal stimulus money for housing purchases.

Latecomers Fund: YTD-June 2010

Actual Rev vs. Actual Exp	Budgeted	Net Exp vs. Budget Rev
\$257,458	balanced	\$167,643

C/Bachman asked if this account is more of a pass-through account.

CE/Law explained that the Latecomers Fund is a pass-through account and most of the expenditures are made at the end of August by contract.

Juvenile Justice Fund: YTD-June 2010

Actual Rev vs. Actual Exp	Budgeted	Net Exp vs. Budget Rev
-\$101.11	\$567	-\$668

Well Mitigation Fund: YTD-June 2010

Actual Rev vs. Actual Exp	Budgeted	Net Exp vs. Budget Rev
\$11,322	\$15,795	\$4,472

Water Fund: YTD-June 2010

Actual Rev vs. Actual Exp	Budgeted	Net Exp vs. Budget Rev
\$92,179	-\$427,479	\$519,658

Sewer Fund: YTD-June 2010

Actual Rev vs. Actual Exp	Budgeted	Net Exp vs. Budget Rev
-\$1,342,809	-\$450,699	-\$892,109

This relates to the \$1.3 million LID.

Local Improvement District Fund: YTD-June 2010

Actual Rev vs. Actual Exp	Budgeted	Net Exp vs. Budget Rev
\$230,292	\$207,084	\$23,208

Irrigation Fund: YTD-June 2010

Actual Rev vs. Actual Exp	Budgeted	Net Exp vs. Budget Rev
\$278,750	\$69,062	\$414,561

CE/Law added that there were two items to keep in mind: projects are being done right now so expenditures aren't reflected completely and two, collections for irrigation are significantly behind. He has requested a meeting with the Mayor to decide what to do about that.

Solid Waste Fund: YTD-June 2010

Actual Rev vs. Actual Exp	Budgeted	Net Exp vs. Budget Rev
\$13,719	balanced	\$13,719

Totals for the City: YTD-June 2010

Actual Rev vs. Actual Exp
\$516,395

**6. CITIZEN'S REPORTS OR REQUESTS:**

REQUEST BY DESERT STREAMS FELLOWSHIP FOR WAIVER OF PARK FEES FOR BERNIE FISHER PARK AUGUST 9-13, 2010 FOR VACATION BIBLE SCHOOL.

Pastor Ray Sparkman reported that each year, his fellowship provides vacation Bible school for local children as a benefit for the community. The park has been reserved for August 9<sup>th</sup> to the 13<sup>th</sup> with a family night on the 14<sup>th</sup>. They do not charge a fee to the families and he requested Council to waive the fees for use of the park.

Moved by C/Bachman, seconded by C/Lang to approve waiver of park fees for the Desert Streams Fellowship Vacation Bible School. Motion carried 4-0.

**7. NEW BUSINESS:**

**8. PUBLIC HEARINGS:** 7 p.m. or as soon thereafter as matters may be heard.

- A. CONTINUED PUBLIC HEARING: RESOLUTION NO. R22-2010: AMENDING RESOLUTION 227 TO UPDATE THE PLANNING AND ZONING FEE SCHEDULE AS REQUIRED TO BE SET BY KUNA MUNICIPAL CODE TITLE 5, CHAPTER 12, SECTION 6; ADDING NEW FEES FOR LAND USE APPLICATIONS, RESIDENTIAL/COMMERCIAL BUILDING PERMIT REVIEW, MECHANICAL PERMITS AND INSPECTIONS (Continued from July 6, 2010).

P&Z D/Hasson brought the fee structure changes for Planning and Zoning and Building inspections for Council's approval. Costs have been kept as low as possible. When this was presented previously, there was an error on the notice dates within the document which had been corrected. In addition, there were concerns about the building fees and how they compared with other jurisdictions. Staff has reviewed the other jurisdiction fees and Council has been provided a copy of the analysis. After evaluating other fee structures, it was determined that Kuna fees are lower. Other jurisdictions charge ala carte for visits or portions of inspections. Comparatively, the same services are lower because Kuna combines services.

M/Dowdy opened the Public Hearing.

SUPPORT

None

NEUTRAL

None

OPPOSE

None

There being no testimony offered, M/Dowdy closed the Public Hearing.

Moved by C/Lang, seconded by C/Cardoza to adopt Resolution R22-2010. Motion carried 4-0.

**B. RE-SCHEDULED PUBLIC HEARING: 10-03-Z0A (TEXT AMENDMENT) – KCC 6-2-4 “FINAL PLAT”/KCC-6-4-2 “REQUIRED PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS” /KCC 6-4-3” FINANCIAL GUARANTEE” (Re-scheduled from June 1, 2010).**

P&Z D/Hasson explained that several text amendments were previously brought before Council in reference to the subdivision ordinances. A lot of the language in the ordinances date back to 1976. It is important to add language for terms and conditions so that it is all read the same for a better outcome. He explained that there were some minor changes requested for the final plat approval standards. The changes were highlighted in blue. The second text amendment was the subdivision regulations. There were points of clarification and those have been changed. The second part of the text amendment is highlighted in blue that has the changes. The third text amendment was financial guarantees. There were some concerns that tightening the financial requirements may make it more difficult or cause developers to do business in another community. C/Cardoza previously asked for information regarding the financial guarantees of Boise, Caldwell, Meridian and Nampa to compare with Kuna. There was a chart provided with a comparison and the other cities have the same financial guarantees the Kuna is suggesting.

C/Bachman said by reading the comparison, Kuna is asking for more guarantees than Boise, Meridian and Nampa with providing a local branch for guarantees.

P & Z D/Hasson recommended changing the language to be similar to Boise City and the financial pledge be drawn on a bank with a local branch.

C/Hoiland asked if this was just for subdivisions and not for commercial development.

P & Z D/Hasson answered it was for any land use application that needs a financial guarantee. That is generally subdivisions and those can be residential or commercial.

C/Hoiland was concerned if a large store came into the area and their bank is in Chicago or New York. Would this prohibit them?

P & Z D/Hasson answered they would need a local branch. If there needed to be an adjustment made, it would go through a public hearing process and before Council.

C/Bachman inquired as to the language used in Boise’s code. Saying “local” or “Treasure Valley” is broad.

P/Behunin indicated that he spoke to the person who reviews all the financial guarantee applications for the City of Boise but nothing was discussed about code. He stated he could research that for Council. Boise only referred to Boise for a local branch. Kuna

staff recommended Treasure Valley because Kuna is smaller. The purpose for Boise requiring a local branch is if they have to call in the guarantee, it can be done in person.

C/Bachman thought it would be helpful to see the verbiage in the Boise code.

P/Behunin agreed to provide the requested information to Council.

M/Dowdy stated that this is an issue that has occurred in the past where there was an irrevocable letter of credit from a bank in Florida. There were issues in getting payment and it could only be done over the phone, long distance. He thought it was important to require a local branch to have someone to deal with face to face. For ease for the City, he thought it wise to require a local bank.

C/Bachman agreed but was not comfortable with the language.

M/Dowdy thought the only other option is to name off the banks.

P/Behunin added that he thought developers understood what Treasure Valley means and what a local branch means.

M/Dowdy opened the Public Hearing.

#### SUPPORT

None

#### NEUTRAL

Lance Warnick, 2422 12 Avenue Rd. Nampa, professional engineer, spoke about the financial guarantee in place by ACHD. ACHD also has a geographical limitation and it has presented some challenges in the past. They have had developers who funded things throughout the State. He applauds the desires to open it up wider than just the City of Kuna. One thing to consider is where does the Treasure Valley end. Considering as ACHD does, designating certain Counties would be a way to include local or give the City an out such as a big box store wanting to come in and fund it, is there a caveat that can be placed such as the treasurers recommendation and Council approval so it doesn't require a public hearing.

#### OPPOSE

None

There being no further testimony offered, M/Dowdy closed the Public Hearing.

P&Z D/Hasson stated that at the time the comp plan was being prepared, language was included describing the Treasure Valley. It extends from Ontario or Vale to Mountain Home. That would be Kuna's assessment of the Treasure Valley for purposes of the

---

discussion regarding financial guarantees. In paragraph 2 of the handout provided to Council, it says “in the text amendment, staff recommended the financial guarantee be limited to a cash backed irrevocable standby letter of credit, a cash deposit or possibly some other form of financial guarantee acceptable to the City.” In the last term, this addresses C/Hoiland’s concern about a big box store.

M/Dowdy added that if language is put in that the City has a preference for a cash backed option, it could come from a bank with a local branch but that other financial institutions could be looked at upon recommendation by staff and approval by the City Council that we could accept something outside of a local branch but make the language clear that it is preferred to have a bank with a local branch.

P&Z D/Hasson agreed to modify the section to include Council’s suggestions.

C/Bachman suggested “a bank with a local branch or proposed financial institution subject to Council approval.”

M/Dowdy asked if there were any comments or ideas on C/Bachman’s proposal. He indicated it should be clear that Council can step outside the box if wanted or needed.

C/Bachman asked CE/Law if he was comfortable with other language changes such as a signature on the Mylar and the final plat approval, if he had reviewed it and made sure it met the intent.

CE/Law thought the essence of those 2 statements under paragraph 6-2-4 is how the plat should be handled. The City Engineer being the last one to sign has to be the gatekeeper for all the code issues and anything related to the land use process. The second one is there are certain things that need to be done before plat approval which is laid out in section B and is consistent.

C/Bachman brought up another item on page 16; she noticed it was further clarified about the planting of trees and the location in the common areas and landscape buffers.

P & Z D/Hasson agreed and the language added was “the type of tree and its location in common area lots and landscaping buffers shall be determined in consultation.”

Referring to 6-4-3 on Page 18, C/Cardoza stated that he deals with contractors daily and if performance bonding is taken away, the individual who builds custom homes on a 1 home basis will go to Boise and Meridian. What will end up in Kuna are subdivisions of 900 sq ft. He felt that a performance bond should be part of the guarantee of the financial part of this. The one man operation that does quality work will not have the cash deposit, the backed irrevocable letter of credit. The City will end up chasing custom homes out of the community to other local cities.

C/Bachman stated she hadn’t realized that the performance bond had been removed. She asked if it was a previous mechanism that was being removed yet it appears that other communities allow it.

C/Cardoza continued that bigger stores that can bond with an irrevocable letter of credit or cash deposit will be what the City sees.

P & Z D/Hasson answered that before Council is what other jurisdictions do. Boise does allow a performance bond, Caldwell doesn't allow it, Meridian allows from time to time on a limited case by case basis and Nampa does not allow it. The City can allow for them, allow on a case by case basis or not allow it.

C/Cardoza said it wasn't allowed at all right now.

P & Z D/Hasson agreed. Staff is trying to be cautious and prudent. There is a balance and it is up to Council to decide how conservative to be in our financial pledges.

In response to C/Bachman, CE/Law answered that Kuna allows performance bonding in construction contracts because of State Code.

CE/Law reminded Council that the performance of sureties on Performance Bonds stays. Both Performance Bonds and Letters of Credit are liberties to the subdivider in order to not do something for a period of time that they promised to do in the land use process. He thought it should be done carefully and judiciously. There are circumstances that it is in the City's interest and the developer to allow some sort of guarantee in place of performance temporarily but the City should be careful because each one out there becomes a responsibility of the City if the developer fails.

C/Bachman commented the City wouldn't prevent someone building a home.

M/Dowdy added this is for subdivision improvements which are different than a home builder and the costs between the two are extreme.

Moved by C/Bachman, seconded by C/Lang to approve 10-03-Z0A (Text Amendment) – KCC 6-2-4 "Final Plat"/KCC-6-4-2 "Required Public Improvements" /KCC 6-4-3 "Financial Guarantee" with changes made to B.2. on Page 18, to state "a bank with a local branch or other financial institution subject to City Council approval." Motion carried 3-1 (C/Cardoza voted no.)

- C. PUBLIC HEARING: ORDINANCE NO. 2010-10: AN ORDINANCE ADOPTING THE KUNA CITY FALSE SECURITY ALARM ORDINANCE THAT SETS FORTH ITS SHORT TITLE AND PURPOSE, DEFINITIONS RELATED TO SECURITY ALARMS, ESTABLISHES THAT THE REVENUE GENERATED SHALL BE ALLOCATED TO THE CITY'S GENERAL FUND, SETS FORTH ALARM PROCEDURES AND REGULATIONS, SETS FORTH DUTIES OF ALARM INSTALLATION AND MONITORING COMPANIES, PROHIBITS FALSE ACTIVATION OF AN ALARM SYSTEM, ESTABLISHES SERVICE FEES, SETS FORTH ENFORCEMENT AND PENALTIES, SEVERABILITY AND ESTABLISHES AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

---

CA/Roats indicated he was asked by Chief Aldrich to draft an ordinance to deal with false alarm issues. 1 free false alarm per calendar year would be allowed, further false alarm fees would be \$75 for a second false alarm, \$100 for a third, \$150 for a fourth and over four would be \$175 per call. The hope and intent is to encourage correcting the cause of false alarms.

C/Cardoza asked about page 4 “Every person maintaining an alarm system shall make readily visible either on or immediately adjacent to the exterior of the device emitting the alarm”—in many homes and his business, the alarm is internal, there is no external alarm. Does that mean those with an internal alarm have to post on the window or door an address or phone number.

CA/Roats stated the distinction is with most cases being a business.

C/Cardoza indicated most devices he was aware of are internal.

M/Dowdy clarified that the external device means the casing. The Ordinance is not talking about the home or building; it's the external of the alarm.

C/Cardoza continued that he read somewhere in the ordinance that the police department has to be notified within 15 days of installing the alarm.

CA/Roats indicated that it is in the last sentence of D, 10-4-4 D.

Chief Aldrich indicated if an alarm system is set up and it contacts 911, it is requested that dispatch be contacted and contact information be provided. Without contact information, there is no way to get in touch with the owners or turn off the alarm.

C/Bachman asked if that meant from a remote location it can be deactivated and no one has to go if they are notified before they are called out.

Chief Aldrich explained the general process of the various contacts involved in an alarm call.

C/Cardoza had another question on 10-4-5, E; he understands the alarm monitoring company will not dispatch an officer for the first 5 minutes why they try to contact the owner of the establishment.

Chief Aldrich clarified that it says not more than so it can't be over 5 minutes.

M/Dowdy agreed, it can't be more than 5 minutes.

C/Cardoza asked what is the meaning of D on 10-4-5 “an alarm installation or monitoring company shall not install or use automatic dialing devices.”

CA/Roats answered it is defined in G of 10-4-2 “a system which automatically sends a transmission over a standard telephone line by direct connection or otherwise with a pre-

recorded voice message or coded signal indicating an existence of an emergency situation that the alarm system is designed to detect.”

Chief Aldrich added that means the system is dialing 911 versus the monitoring company.

C/Cardoza confirmed that automatically dialing is no longer acceptable and it needs to be ADT or a central station.

CA/Roats indicated it was intended to apply to alarm systems that are not electronically monitored.

C/Cardoza added that on 10-4-4, D, the owner should have already contacted the Ada County 911 with that information. He doesn't want the City to require those that have alarms systems to put a phone number on an exterior window for any one to call that happens to walk by.

CA/Roats added it could be changed to “alarm devices” on D to make it clear.

C/Bachman stated that anyone who gets an alarm has to notify the Sheriff's Department, the City and 911 about their alarm, location and contact information.

Chief Aldrich clarified that the homeowner only needs to notify the Sheriff's Department.

C/Bachman stated if she got a new alarm, she would never think to look up City Code to see what she should do.

M/Dowdy said that's why there is one free false alarm call a year so if it goes off, the police can let them know if they need to do something further by providing information to the Sheriff's Department

CC/Burgess interjected that false alarm companies are familiar with the requirement that the alarm owner needs to supply the local police department with contact information

C/Bachman confirmed that the fee would be collected by the Sheriff's Department for the City to place into the General Fund.

C/Hoiland asked if the intent is to offset law enforcement's response to the false alarms, the Sheriff's Department doesn't get anything from it. What good is it?

Chief Aldrich answered the good thing is that it helps reduce the number of wasted enforcement efforts for false alarms and makes staff available for real calls.

M/Dowdy added that the funds can be earmarked to pay the police department in the budget.

C/Cardoza wanted to emphasize that he had a false alarm five years ago and two officers were tied up and then had to wait until a police dog came from Boise. He thought a lot of false alarms come from not replacing the batteries, they get weak and they set off the alarms. ADT charges to come out and change them and this Ordinance will put more emphasis on maintenance. He thinks it's a good thing.

M/Dowdy opened the Public Hearing.

SUPPORT

None

NEUTRAL

None

OPPOSE

None

There being no testimony offered, M/Dowdy closed the Public Hearing.

C/Cardoza requested that the second false alarm fine be dropped from \$75 to \$50.

C/Bachman was in agreement.

Moved by C/Lang, seconded by C/Bachman to dispense with full reading and three consecutive readings of Ordinance 2010-10 with a change in the fine for second false alarms from \$75.00 to \$50.00. Motion carried 4-0.

Moved by C/Lang, seconded by C/Hoiland to adopt Ordinance 2010-10 as amended. Motion carried by the following Roll Call vote:

AYES: Bachman, Cardoza, Hoiland, Lang  
NOES: None  
ABSENT: None

**9. ORDINANCES:**

- A. DISPENSE WITH FULL READING AND THREE CONSECUTIVE READINGS OF ORDINANCE 2010-09: AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF KUNA, IDAHO, ANNEXING A PORTION OF ZATICA NO. 2 SUBDIVISION INTO THE MUNICIPAL IRRIGATION SYSTEM AND CHANGING THE BOUNDARIES THEREOF; DIRECTING THAT COPIES OF THIS ORDINANCE BE RECORDED AS PROVIDED BY LAW; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

Moved by C/Lang, seconded by C/Bachman to dispense with full reading and three consecutive readings of Ordinance 2010-09. Motion carried 4-0.

Moved by C/Lang, seconded by C/Hoiland to adopt Ordinance 2010-09. Motion carried by the following Roll Call vote:

AYES: Bachman, Cardoza, Hoiland, Lang  
NOES: None  
ABSENT: None

- B. DISPENSE WITH FULL READING AND THREE CONSECUTIVE READINGS OF ORDINANCE 2010-11: AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF KUNA, IDAHO ADOPTING A NEW SECTION 10-1-7 PROHIBITING FIGHTING IN PUBLIC WITHIN THE CITY LIMITS, PROVIDING FOR A PENALTY, AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

C/Bachman asked if there needs to be a public hearing on this matter.

M/Dowdy indicated it doesn't require a fee. It's a police regulation, not a zoning regulation or a fee.

Moved by C/Lang, seconded by C/Hoiland to dispense with full reading and three consecutive readings of Ordinance 2010-11. Motion carried 4-0.

Moved by C/Lang, seconded by C/Hoiland to adopt Ordinance 2010-11. Motion carried by the following Roll Call vote:

AYES: Bachman, Cardoza, Hoiland, Lang  
NOES: None  
ABSENT: None

- C. DISPENSE WITH FULL READING AND THREE CONSECUTIVE READINGS OF ORDINANCE 2010-12: AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF KUNA, IDAHO AMENDING SECTION 10-6-5(C) TO EXEMPT KUNA DAYS FROM THE KUNA CITY NOISE ORDINANCE, AND PROVIDE AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

Moved by C/Lang, seconded by C/Hoiland to dispense with full reading and three consecutive readings of Ordinance 2010-12 as amended. Motion carried 4-0.

Moved by C/Lang, seconded by C/Hoiland to adopt Ordinance 2010-12 as amended. Motion carried by the following Roll Call vote:

AYES: Bachman, Cardoza, Hoiland, Lang  
NOES: None  
ABSENT: None

**10. MAYOR / COUNCIL DISCUSSION:**

C/Bachman brought up a letter that Meridian copied the City on regarding Ada County Court services. She asked if anyone interpreted what was going on. It seemed to her that Meridian is not or hasn't paid for services.

M/Dowdy stated that, under Idaho State Code, the State pays the judges salaries, the county pays the clerks and employees at the courthouse and the cities are required to provide facilities in which the court operates. In Ada County, because of the size, there has always been an agreement that one building would be provided and the cities provided money. When the new courthouse was built, the county entered an agreement with cities to pay for the facility as a reimbursement. Boise, Garden City and Meridian entered into an agreement. The county didn't require Kuna, Eagle or Star because the bulk of the court cases came from the 3 larger cities. The City of Boise decided they were overpaying for their portion. The cities don't want to pay and the county has indicated they would need to provide their own courthouse.

In response to C/Bachman, M/Dowdy explained that it is a separate issue from what the City pays to the Prosecutor's office.

M/Dowdy announced that the Town Hall Meeting for discussion regarding moving City Hall would be held tomorrow night at 7 p.m. in the Council Chamber.

P & Z D/Hasson updated Council that surveys were distributed to 9 locations and the meeting had been noticed and published. There have been 800 signatures received from citizens about their choice on the move of City Hall. He complimented staff on their work in preparation for the meeting.

M/Dowdy indicated that Idaho Law requires cities the size of Kuna must employ two licensed Class III Operators. Debbie Crossley is one and Janice Yerton recently obtained her Class III License. CE/Law has requested a one-time bonus raise of 4% for Ms. Yerton. The decision from Council can be made at the next meeting.

Council and CE/Law discussed the closure of Ten Mile Rd for construction.

**11. ANNOUNCEMENTS:****12. EXECUTIVE SESSION**

**13. ADJOURNMENT:** There being no further business to conduct, C/Lang moved, seconded by C/Bachman to adjourn at 9:00 p.m. Motion carried 4-0.

---

J SCOTT DOWDY, MAYOR

ATTEST:

---

LYNDA BURGESS, CITY CLERK

DATE APPROVED: AUGUST 3, 2010